Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

(Currently Amended) A method for verifying first generated computer code having a
plurality of lines generated by a code generating module from a model file of a system including
a plurality of functions generated by a model module, the method comprising:

processing the model file, by a verification module, to determine values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax for each of the plurality of functions;

generating second generated parsed computer code for the model file based on the determined values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax for the model file;

comparing each line of the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code includes correct values, correct inputs, correct outputs, correct functions, and correct syntax; and

transmitting an error message if a line of the first generated computer code does not include a correct value, a correct input, a correct output, a correct function, or a correct syntax based on the comparison.

(Currently Amended) The method of claim 1 further, comprising the steps of:
 comparing each line of the first generated computer code and the second generated
 parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code is missing a line of code;
 and

transmitting the error message if the first generated computer code is missing the line of code.

 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: comparing each line of the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code includes an extraneous line of code; and

transmitting the error message if the first generated computer code includes the extraneous line of code.

- 4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: comparing each line of the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code is in a logical order; and transmitting the error message if the first generated computer code is not in the logical order.
- 5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: comparing a first header information section in the first generated computer code and second header information section in the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first header information section matches the second header information section; and transmitting the error message if the first header information section does not match the second header information section.
- 6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: comparing a first declared variable section in the first generated computer code and a second declared variable section in the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first declared variable section matches the second declared variable section; and transmitting the error message if the first declared variable section does not match the second declared variable section.

Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

7. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable storage medium containing a set of instructions for verifying a first generated computer code having a plurality of lines from a code generating module, the generated computer code automatically generated from a model file of a system having a plurality of functions and created by a model module, the set of instructions comprising:

code that reads in the model file;

code that determines values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax for each of the plurality of functions in the model file;

code that generates a second generated parsed computer code based on the determined values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax;

code that reads in the first generated computer code;

code that compares each line in the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code includes the determined values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax in the second generated parsed computer code; and

code that transmits an error message if a first line in the first generated computer code does not include a determined value, a determined input, a determined output, a determined function, or a determined syntax based on the comparison.

8. (Currently Amended) The medium of claim 7, wherein the set of instructions further comprises:

code that compares each line in the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code; and

code that transmits the error message if the first line does not include the determined value, the determined input, the determined output, the determined function, the determined syntax, or combinations thereof.

Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

 (Currently Amended) The medium of claim 7, wherein the set of instructions further comprises:

code that compares each line in the plurality of lines and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the plurality of lines includes a second line of code that is not determined in the second generated parsed computer code; and

code that transmits the error message if the first generated computer code includes the second line of code not determined in the second generated parsed computer code.

10. (Currently Amended) The medium of claim 7, wherein the set of instructions further comprises:

code that compares each line in the plurality of lines and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the plurality of lines are in a correct logical order; and

code that transmits the error message if the plurality of lines are not in the correct logical order.

11. (Currently Amended) The medium of claim 7, wherein the set of instructions further comprises:

code that compares a first header information section in the first generated computer code and a second header information section in the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first header information section matches the second header information section; and

code that transmits the error message if the first header information section does not match the second header information section.

Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

12. (Currently Amended) A system for verifying the contents of a first generated computer code having a plurality of lines generated by a code generating module from a model file including a plurality of functions generated by a model module, comprising:

a processor operable to:

process the model file to determine values, inputs, outputs, function type, and syntax for each of the plurality of functions,

generate a second generated <u>parsed</u> computer code for the model file based on the determined values, inputs, outputs, functions type, and syntax for the model file,

compare each line in the first generated computer code with the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code includes correct values, correct inputs, correct outputs, correct functions, and correct syntax, and

transmit an error message if a line in the first generated computer code does not include a correct value, a correct input, a correct output, a correct function, or a correct syntax based on the comparison; and

a display configured to display the error message, the display coupled to the processor.

- (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the error message indicates if a line is missing in the first generated computer code.
- 14. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the error message indicates if a line of the first generated computer code has any additional content.

Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

15. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the processor is operable to compare each line in the second generated parsed computer code to the first generated computer code to determine if the plurality of lines are in an expected form, and transmit the error message

if one or more of the lines of code in the plurality of lines do not match the expected form.

16. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the processor is operable to

compare each line in the $\frac{1}{2}$ second $\frac{1}{2}$ generated $\frac{1}{2}$ computer code to the $\frac{1}{2}$ generated computer

code to determine if the first generated computer code includes a line of extraneous code.

17. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the processor is operable to

compare each line in the second generated parsed computer code to the first generated computer code to determine if each line is in a logical order, and transmit the error message if any line in

the plurality of lines is not in logical order.

18. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 12, wherein the processor is operable to

compare a first header information section in the first generated computer code to a second

header information section in the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first

header information section matches the second header information section, and transmit the error

message if the first header information section does not match the second header information

section.

19-20. (Canceled)

7

Response to Office Action mailed August 4, 2009

21. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: comparing each line in the first generated computer code and the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the plurality of lines are complete; and transmitting an error message if the plurality of lines are incomplete.

22. (Currently Amended) The medium of claim 7, wherein the set of instructions further comprises:

code that compares each line in the first generated computer code to the second generated parsed computer code to determine if the first generated computer code is complete; and code that transmits the error message if the first generated computer code is incomplete.